UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

:

TERRENCE CANDIDATE, NO. 1:11-CV-00061

:

Petitioner,

:

vs. : ORDER

:

WARDEN, WARREN CORRECTIONAL

INSTITUTION,

:

Respondent. :

This matter is before the Court on the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (doc. 12), to which there were no objections.

Proper Notice has been given to the parties under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), including notice that the parties would waive further appeal if they failed to file objections to the Report and Recommendation in a timely manner. <u>United States v. Walters</u>, 638 F.2d 947 (6th Cir. 1981). As of the date of this Order, no objections have been filed.

Having reviewed this matter <u>de novo</u> pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, the Court finds the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation thorough, well-reasoned, and correct.

Accordingly, the Court hereby ADOPTS and AFFIRMS the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (doc. 12) in all respects, and DISMISSES with prejudice Petitioner's petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (doc. 1). The

Court DECLINES to issue a certificate of appealability in this case as to any of the grounds in his Petition because under the two-part standard enunciated in <u>Slack v. McDaniel</u>, 529 U.S. 473, 484-85 (2000), "jurists of reason," would not find it debatable whether the Court is correct in its ruling. Finally, with respect to any application by Petitioner to proceed on appeal <u>in forma pauperis</u>, the Court CERTIFIES pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) that an appeal of this Order would be considered objectively frivolous, would not be taken in good faith, and therefore the Court DENIES Petitioner leave to appeal <u>in forma pauperis</u> upon a showing of financial necessity. Fed. R. App. P. 24(a), <u>Kincade v. Sparkman</u>, 117 F.3d 949, 952 (6th Cir. 1997).

SO ORDERED.

DATED: March 13, 2012 /s/ S. Arthur Spiegel

S. Arthur Spiegel

United States Senior District Judge